

A generalisation of a solvable model in population dynamics

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 L727S

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/23/14/007>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 08:39

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

A generalisation of a solvable model in population dynamics

George DeRise† and John A Adam‡

† Division of Natural Science and Mathematics, Thomas Nelson Community College, Hampton, VA 23670, USA

‡ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529-0077, USA

Received 9 May 1990

Abstract. Biswas and Karmakar were the first to consider a periodic rate transform for an autocatalytic growth process, $G(x) = -\tan(\alpha \ln x)$. They obtained an exact analytic solution for the probability density function by solving the equivalent Schrödinger equation for the Fokker-Planck equation, and utilised this function to calculate various moments. In this letter we extend their work to a more general rate transform $G(x) = \alpha \tan(\beta \ln x) + \delta \cot(\beta \ln x)$.

The growth of a population with random environmental influences has been considered by Goel *et al* [1] and Montroll [2]. They assumed that the population $N(t)$ satisfied a stochastic differential equation of the form

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = kNG\left(\frac{N}{\theta}\right) + NF(t) \tag{1}$$

where k is a (constant) growth rate parameter, G is a growth rate function, θ is the (constant) saturation level and $F(t)$ is a random function representing chance, unspecified influences not taken care of by G . $F(t)$ has the properties

- (1) $\langle F(t) \rangle = 0$
- (2) $\langle F(t) \cdot F(t') \rangle = \sigma^2 \delta(t - t')$
- (3) $F(t)$ is a Gaussian process

i.e. $F(t)$ represents Gaussian white noise.

Working with the more convenient variable $v = \ln N/\theta$ (1) becomes

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = kG(e^v) + F(t). \tag{2}$$

By a stochastic argument Goel *et al* derive a Fokker-Planck equation for the probability $P(v, t)$, that $\log N/\theta$ has a value v at time t ,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -k \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \{PG(e^v)\} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial v^2}. \tag{3}$$

If we set

$$P = \Psi(v, t) \exp\left\{\frac{k}{\sigma^2} \int_a^v G(e^v) dv\right\} \tag{4}$$

(3) becomes the 'equivalent' Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{2}{k} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \frac{\sigma^2}{k} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial v^2} - \left\{ \frac{k}{\sigma^2} [G(e^v)]^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} G(e^v) \right\} \Psi. \quad (5)$$

Separating variables by letting $\Psi(v, t) = e^{-\hat{a}t} X(v)$, the spatial dependence reduces to the Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{d^2 X}{dv^2} + \left(\frac{kE}{\sigma^2} - U \right) X = 0 \quad (6)$$

where $-E$ is the separation constant, and U is the Schrödinger potential given by the expression in braces in (5). If

$$G(e^v) = \alpha \tan \beta v + \delta \cot \beta v$$

then letting $\xi = \beta v$ in (6) and defining

$$E = \frac{k}{\beta^2 \sigma^2} \left(\frac{2\hat{a}}{k} - \frac{2\alpha k \delta}{\sigma^2} + \frac{k\alpha^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{k\delta^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{k}{\beta^2 \sigma^2} \left(\frac{k\alpha^2}{\sigma^2} + \alpha\beta \right) = \lambda(\lambda - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k}{\beta^2 \sigma^2} \left(\frac{k\delta^2}{\sigma^2} - \delta\beta \right) = \kappa(\kappa - 1) \quad (8)$$

(6) becomes

$$\frac{d^2 X}{d\xi^2} + \left[E - \left(\frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{\cos^2 \xi} + \frac{\kappa(\kappa - 1)}{\sin^2 \xi} \right) \right] X = 0 \quad \lambda, \kappa > 1. \quad (9)$$

Choosing the single branch $0 \leq \xi \leq \pi/2$ we solve (9) with boundary conditions $X = 0$ for $\xi = 0$, $X = 0$ for $\xi = \pi/2$. This is a classic problem in quantum mechanics, namely that of the solution of the Schrödinger equation for the first Pöschl-Teller potential [3].

First transform to the new independent variable $y = \sin^2 \xi$ obtaining

$$y(1-y)X''(y) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - y\right)X'(y) + \frac{1}{4} \left[E - \left(\frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{(1-y)} + \frac{\kappa(\kappa - 1)}{y} \right) \right] X = 0 \quad (10)$$

and then to the new dependent variable

$$X = y^\mu (1-y)^\gamma f(y) \quad (11)$$

we get

$$y(1-y)f''(y) + \left[(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}) - (\kappa + \lambda + 1)y \right] f'(y) + \frac{1}{4} [E - (\kappa + \lambda)^2] f = 0 \quad (12)$$

by choosing $\mu = \kappa/2$, $\gamma = \lambda/2$. Equation (12) is a hypergeometric differential equation which has a general solution [4]

$$f = C_1 {}_2F_1(a, b; c; y) + C_2 y^{1-c} {}_2F_1(a+1-c, b+1-c; 2-c; y) \quad (13)$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa + \lambda \pm \sqrt{E}) \quad b = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa + \lambda \mp \sqrt{E}) \quad c = \kappa + \frac{1}{2} \quad (14)$$

since $X = 0$ for $y = 0$, $C_2 = 0$. To obtain the condition at $y = 1$ we use the well known transformation 15.3.6 in [5]. In the vicinity of $y = 1$ we must take $b = -n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ to avoid the singularity due to the negative exponent $\frac{1}{2} - \lambda$ in the second term. Using

(14) we find $a = \kappa + \lambda + n$ and the eigenvalues $E_n = (\kappa + \lambda + 2n)^2$. The corresponding eigenfunctions are

$$X_n = \sin^\kappa \xi \cos^\lambda \xi {}_2F_1(\kappa + \lambda + n, -n; \kappa + \frac{1}{2}; \sin^2 \xi). \tag{15}$$

Since the Jacobi polynomials are defined by [6]

$$J_n(p, q; x) = {}_2F_1(p + n, -n; q; x) \tag{16}$$

the eigenfunctions X_n can be written

$$X_n = \sin^\kappa \xi \cos^\lambda \xi J_n(\kappa + \lambda, \kappa + \frac{1}{2}; \sin^2 \xi). \tag{17}$$

The Jacobi polynomials have the orthogonality condition [5]

$$\int_0^1 x^{q-1} (1-x)^{p-q} J_n(p, q; x) J_m(p, q; x) dx = N(p, q, n) \delta_{mn} \quad q > 0, p - q > -1 \tag{18}$$

where the explicit form of N is of no consequence for our discussion.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2\kappa} \xi \cos^{2\lambda} \xi J_n(\kappa + \lambda, \kappa + \frac{1}{2}; \sin^2 \xi) J_m(\kappa + \lambda, \kappa + \frac{1}{2}; \sin^2 \xi) d\xi \\ = \frac{N \delta_{mn}}{2} \quad \kappa > -\frac{1}{2}, \lambda > -\frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The most general solution for Ψ is

$$\Psi(v, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n X_n(\xi) e^{-\hat{a}t} \tag{20}$$

where \hat{a} is easily found from our expressions for E and e_n .

Using (4)

$$P(v, t) = \Psi(v, t) \bar{K} \sec^{\alpha\beta} \beta v \sin^{\delta\beta} \beta v \tag{21}$$

with appropriate conditions on $\alpha\beta, \delta\beta$ from (8) where \bar{K} is the constant value of the integrated expression at the lower limit of integration.

From (21),

$$\Psi(v, 0) = \frac{1}{\bar{K}} \cos^{\alpha\beta} \xi \operatorname{cosec}^{\delta\beta} \xi P(v, 0). \tag{22}$$

From (20),

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \Psi(v, 0) X_m(\xi) d\xi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \int_0^{\pi/2} X_n(\xi) X_m(\xi) d\xi. \tag{23}$$

Now utilising (17), (19) and (22) we get

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{\bar{K}} P(v, 0) \cos^{\alpha\beta} \xi \operatorname{cosec}^{\delta\beta} \xi X_m(\xi) d\xi = \frac{1}{2} C_n N(\kappa, \lambda, n). \tag{24}$$

Set $P(v, 0) = \delta(v - v_0)$ with $\xi_0 = v_0 \beta$ corresponding to an initial spiked distribution and we obtain

$$C_n = \left(\frac{2}{N} \right) \cos^{\alpha\beta} \xi_0 \operatorname{cosec}^{\delta\beta} \xi_0 X_m(\xi_0). \tag{25}$$

Now using (17), (20), (21) and (25) we derive an expression for the probability density function $P(v, t)$

$$P(v, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{N} \sin^{\kappa+\delta\beta} \xi \cos^{\lambda-\alpha\beta} \xi \sin^{\kappa-\delta\beta} \xi_0 \cos^{\lambda+\alpha\beta} \xi_0 J_n(\xi_0) J_n(\xi) e^{-\hat{a}t}. \tag{26}$$

This reduces to the analogous expression given by Biswas and Karmakar [7] when the appropriate substitutions are made. Choosing $v_0\beta = \pi/4$ so that all terms involving ξ_0 are incorporated into a constant M

$$P(v, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M \sin^{\kappa+\delta\beta} \xi \cos^{\lambda-\alpha\beta} \xi J_n(\xi) e^{-\hat{a}t}. \tag{27}$$

The moments of N/θ can easily be found from this density function

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left(\frac{N}{\theta} \right)^{2\tilde{\lambda}} \right\rangle &= \langle e^{2\tilde{\lambda}v} \rangle \\ &= \int_0^{\pi\beta/2} P(v, t) e^{2\tilde{\lambda}v} dv \\ &= \int_0^{\pi/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M}{\beta} \sin^{\kappa+\delta\beta} \xi \cos^{\lambda-\alpha\beta} \xi J_n(\kappa + \lambda, \kappa + \frac{1}{2}; \sin^2 \xi) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(\frac{2\tilde{\lambda}\xi}{\beta} - \hat{a}t\right) d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

The Jacobi polynomials may be written as

$$J_n(p, q, \varphi) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^n f(l, n) \varphi^l \tag{29}$$

where

$$f(l, n) = (-1)^l \binom{n}{l} \frac{(p+n)(p+n+1)\dots(p+n+l-1)}{q(q+1)\dots(q+l-1)} \tag{30}$$

so that (28) becomes

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{N}{\theta} \right)^{2\tilde{\lambda}} \right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M}{\beta} e^{-\hat{a}t} \left\{ I_1(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \kappa, \lambda, \tilde{\lambda}, \xi) + \sum_{l=1}^n f(l, n) I_2(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \kappa, \lambda, l, \tilde{\lambda}, \xi) \right\} \tag{31}$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{\kappa+\delta\beta} \xi \cos^{\lambda-\alpha\beta} \xi e^{2\tilde{\lambda}\xi/\beta} d\xi \tag{32}$$

$$I_2 = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{\kappa+\delta\beta+2l} \xi \cos^{\lambda-\alpha\beta} \xi e^{2\tilde{\lambda}\xi/\beta} d\xi. \tag{33}$$

The various moments can be calculated by letting $\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$

References

[1] Goel N S, Maitra S C and Montroll E W 1971 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **43** 231
 [2] Montroll E S 1971 Some Mathematical Questions in Biology IV *Am. Math. Soc.*

- [3] Flüge S 1971 *Practical Quantum Mechanics* (Berlin: Springer)
- [4] Lebedev N N 1972 *Special Functions and Their Applications* (New York: Dover)
- [5] Abramowitz M and Stegun I 1964 *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Washington DC: US Govt Printing Office)
- [6] Morse P M and Feshbach H 1953 *Methods of Theoretical Physics* (New York: McGraw Hill)
- [7] Biswas S N and Karmakar B B 1976 *Math. Biosci.* **32** 63-72